



Handbook on Economics, Finance and Management Outlooks

ISBN: 978-969-9952-03-6

homepage: <http://www.pakrdw.com/?ic=details&id=9>

Conference venue : lebua Hotels and Resorts, 1055 Silom Road, Bangrak, Bangkok 10500
Thailand

Vol.3 , 2015

(27,28 July)



ISBN : 978-969-9952-03-6

Abstracts of 3rd International Conference on Economics, Finance and Management Outlooks

27-28 July, 2015

lebua Hotels and Resorts, 1055 Silom Road,
Bangrak, Bangkok 10500 Thailand

Jointly Orgnized by



PAK RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT WING
Growing Knowledge for Future

URL : www.pakinsight.com, www.aessweb.com



Handbook on Economics, Finance and Management Outlooks

ISBN: 978-969-9952-03-6

homepage: <http://www.pakrdw.com/?ic=details&id=9>

Conference venue : lebua Hotels and Resorts, 1055 Silom Road, Bangrak, Bangkok 10500
Thailand

Vol.3 , 2015

(27,28 July)

CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS

BOOK OF ABSTRACTS

3rd ICEFMO, 2015

3rd International Conference on Economics, Finance and Management Outlooks

27-28 July, 2015

lebua Hotels and Resorts, 1055 Silom Road, Bangrak, Bangkok 10500 Thailand



Copyright © 2015 Pak Publishing Group

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form, or by any means, or stored in any retrieval system of any nature without the prior permission of the publishers.

Permitted fair dealing under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, or in accordance with the terms of a licence issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency in respect of photocopying and/or reprographic reproduction is excepted.

Any application for permission for other use of copyright material including permission to reproduce extracts in other published works must be made to the publishers and in the event of such permission being granted full acknowledgement of author, publisher and source must be given.

Disclaimer

Whilst every effort has been made to ensure that the information contained in this publication is correct, neither the editors and contributors nor **Pak Publishing Group** accept any responsibility for any errors or omissions, quality, accuracy and currency of the information, nor any consequences that may result. **Pak Publishing Group** takes no responsibility for the accuracy of URLs of external websites given in this publication nor for the accuracy or relevance of their content. The opinions, advices and information contained in this publication do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the **Pak Publishing Group**.

Format for citing papers

Author surname, initial(s). (2015). Title of paper. Handbook on Economics, Finance and Management Outlooks, Vol. 3 (pp. xx-xx). Thailand, July 27th – 28th, 2015.



Contents

Article ID	Title	Page No.
659/15/3 rd ICEFMO	Analysis on Income Smoothing By Banks Through Loan Loss Provision: Before and After the 2007 Financial Crisis <i>Yewmun Yip --- Yuli Su</i>	1
663/15/3 rd ICEFMO	The Correlation between the Palestinian Civil Society Institutions and the Universities (Status: The Palestine Technical University Khadouri with Tulkarm institutions) <i>Khalid Mohammed Sweis</i>	3
668/15/3 rd ICEFMO	Exploring the Determinants of Hotel Occupancy Rate : A Dynamic Panel Data Approach <i>Wei-Ting Hung --- Jui-Kuo Shang --- Fei-Ching Wang</i>	4
698/15/3 rd ICEFMO	Does Inflation Targeting Effectively Combat Exchange Rate Volatility in Ghana and South Africa? <i>Mohammed Umar --- Jauhari Dahalan</i>	5
701/15/3 rd ICEFMO	Estimating the Size of the Underground Economy in Saudi: Evidence from Gregory-Hansen Cointegration Based Currency Demand Approach <i>Awadh Ahmed Mohammed Gamal --- Jauhari B.Dahalan</i>	6
664/15/3 rd ICEFMO	Developing Corporate Governance Quality Measurement and Its Impact on Firm Value <i>Ulil Hartono --- Musdholifah</i>	7
669/15/3 rd ICEFMO	The Interactional Impact of Defence Expenditure and Political Instability on Economic Growth in Nigeria: An Application of Toda-Yamamoto Dynamic Granger Causality Test From 1980-2014 <i>Muhammed Aminu Umar --- Abu Sufian Abu Bakar</i>	8
652/15/3 rd ICEFMO	Exchange Rate Volatility and Export Performance in South Africa: (2000-2014) <i>Wilson Chamunorwa --- Ireen Choga</i>	9
679/15/3 rd ICEFMO	The Impact of Money Supply on Economic Growth: Theory, Experience, Modeling <i>Robert Nizhegorodtsev --- Nina Goridko</i>	10
654/15/3 rd ICEFMO	Review the Relationship of Component of Cash Earnings with Future Earnings in the Tehran Stock Exchange Listed Companies <i>Mahmoud Bani</i>	11
700/15/3 rd ICEFMO	Linking Cooperative Health Service Characteristics to Expatriates' Satisfaction: Mediating Role of Customer Knowledge <i>Mu'arak Aldosari --- Yusnidah Ibrahim --- Norlida Binti Abdul Manab</i>	12
635/15/3 rd ICEFMO	One-sided linking of mortgage loans to the price of foreign currencies <i>Nahum Biger</i>	13
671/15/3 rd ICEFMO	Development of Decision Making Method to Success of Software Development Project based on the Discriminant Analysis <i>Kazuhiro Esaki --- Testu Sagae --- Kizuku Kuwahra</i>	14



Handbook on Economics, Finance and Management Outlooks

ISBN: 978-969-9952-03-6

homepage: <http://www.pakrdw.com/?ic=details&id=9>

Conference venue : lebua Hotels and Resorts, 1055 Silom Road, Bangrak, Bangkok 10500
Thailand

Vol.3 , 2015

(27,28 July)

672/15/3 rd ICEFMO	Common Management Process based on the TQM Matrix and Three Dimensional Unification Value Models <i>Kazuhiro Esaki</i>	15
673/15/3 rd ICEFMO	Comparison between Decision Making Method for Success right or wrong of Software Development Project <i>Kazuhiro Esaki --- Kizuku Kuwahra --- Testu Sagae</i>	16
611/15/3 rd ICEFMO	Does Financial Leverage Influence Investment Decisions? Empirical Evidence from KSE-30 Index of Pakistan <i>Muhammad Sajid --- Safdar Hussain Tahir --- Hazoor Muhammad Sabir</i>	17
692/15/3 rd ICEFMO	The Relationship Between Corporate Entrepreneurship and Lean Six Sigma in the South African Financial Sector <i>Goldsmith. Ma --- Dhliwayo.S</i>	18
675/15/3 rd ICEFMO	Unemployment, Income Inequality and Poverty: The Hallmarks of the Africa's Largest and Strongest Economy <i>Aliyu A. Kware</i>	20



Scientific & Review Committee

Conference Chair

Prof. Dr. Rana Ejaz Ali Khan

Chairman, Department of Economic, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan

Dr. Wali-Ulla

Assistant professor of Economics/Econometrics and Research Fellow at Institute of Business Administration (IBA) Karachi, Pakistan

Conference Coordinator

Qazi Muhammad Adnan Hye

Pak Research & Development Wing, Karachi, Pakistan

Head of Scientific & Review Committee

Dr. GholamReza Zandi Pour

Graduate School of Business, SEGi University, Malaysia

Scientific & Review Committee

- Dr. Ayi Ayayi, Universit' du Qu'bec, Canada
Dr. Yu Hsing, Southeastern Louisiana University Hammond, USA
Dr. Shahida Wizarat, Economics Department, Institute of Business Management, Karachi, Pakistan
Dr. Lau Wee Yeap, Faculty of Economics and Administration, University of Malaya, Malaysia
Dr. Marie-Aim'e Tourres, Faculty of Economics & Administration, University of Malaya, Malaysia
Dr. Seemia Narayan, RMIT University, Australia
Dr. Andreea Stoian, Bucharest Academy of Economic Studies, Romania
Dr. Maria do C'u Alves, University of Beira Interior, Portugal
Dr. Husam-Aldin Almkawi, King Abdulaziz University, Saudi Arabia
Dr. Raid Al-adaileh, Mutah University, Jordan
Dr. A. Dimitras, Hellenic Open University, Greece
Dr. Giorgio Calcagnini, Universita' di Urbino, Italia
Dr. Faruk Balli, Massey University, New Zealand
Dr. Rana Ejaz Ali Khan, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan
Dr. Liu Zhigao, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China
Dr. Hamid Sepehrdoust, Boo-Ali-Sina, University of Hamedan, Iran
Dr. Asmak Ab Rahman, Department of Shariah and Economics, University of Malaya, Malaysia
Dr. Narayan Sethi, National Institute of Technology Rourkela, India
Dr. Cristiana Tudor, The Bucharest Academy of Economic Studies, Romanian
Dr. Khaled GUESMI, University of Paris X Nanterre, Paris
Dr. Rudra Prakash Pradhan, Indian Institute of Technology, India
Dr. Burak Darici, Balikesir University, Turkey
Dr. Shawkat Hammoudeh, Drexel University Philadelphia, USA
Dr. Check Teck Foo, Sun Tzu Art of War Club, China
Dr. Kumar Das, Vice Chancellor Fakir Mohan University, India
Dr. Saleheen Khan, Department of Economics, Minnesota State University, Mankato, USA
Dr. Mohammad Taufik Bin Wan Abdullah Wan, Universiti Tenaga Nasional, Malaysia
Dr. Mohd Norfiar Alifiah, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia

Developing Corporate Governance Quality and Its Impact on Firm Value

Ulil Hartono¹
Musdholifah²

^{1,2}*Economics Faculty, State University of Surabaya, Indonesia*

ABSTRACT

This proposition research aims to explore on a variety of measurement of the corporate governance quality and its influence on the firm value. Various models of measurement of the quality of corporate governance in the form of an index has been widely used by researchers on several dimensions. Further development, the governance quality measurement through the implementation of the rating performed by using a governance index that has been built in European countries, Korea, USA and some developing countries are getting a response (criticism), especially from the information technology experts, as has been done by Grzybowski and Wojcik (2006). The quality of governance is proxied by IBCG Rating has been tested by Hartono *et al.* (2013) and shows that its effect on the firm value while giving recommended on the proxy shortage by recommending the addition of resource development plan, especially human resources, disclosure regarding whistleblowing system, and threats litigation adapted to the conditions of each country. The contribution of this paper is focus on methodological development. From the methodological point of view, the paper introduces Internet Based Corporate Governance (IBCG) rating modified with adapted to the conditions of each country- a system for the evaluation of the use of Internet in the governance of companies. The propositions of this research include: 1) there is no difference between the IBCG Rating and the Modified IBCG Rating; and 2) there is positive influence of modified IBCG Rating on firm value.

Keywords: Corporate governance, firm value, internet, IBCG rating modified.

1. Introduction

How strongly important does a firm's corporate governance matter? Good corporate governance is not only impact on performance, furthermore, will be responded positively by external parties (potential investor) that is indicated by the rising value of the company. Shleifer and Vishny (1997) argue that corporate governance is a means or mechanism used to convince the owners of capital that investment obtains appropriate yields. Shareholders' interests can be protected in the long term when his attention was also devoted to the interests of other stakeholders.

Aspects of transparency, accountability, responsibility, independence, fairness and equality are needed to achieve business continuity (sustainability) as well as a good indicator of poor management of the business. Support information system that can be accessed by interested parties has long believed to be able to encourage the creation of good corporate governance (GCG). Transparency, as an illustration, are less optimal implemented when the access to all parties (concerned stakeholders) are limited (Hartono *et al.*, 2013).

2. Modified IBCG Rating

Various models of corporate governance quality measurement in the form of the index had been widely used by researchers over several dimensions. For example, governance index that has been used by Bauer *et al.* (2004) for the euro area; Germany (Drobetz *et al.*, 2004); several

Further developments, implementation of governance quality measurement using the governance index that has been built in European countries, Korea, USA and some emerging markets are getting responses (criticism) from information technology experts. Grzybkowski and Wojcik (2006) mentioned that the rating methodology that used by agency rating on the governance quality is far from transparent. Grzybkowski and Wojcik (2006) then proposed a model for measuring the governance implementation quality calls the IBCG (Internet Based of Corporate Governance) rating based on the OECD Principles of Corporate Governance. IBCG rating is an interaction between corporate governance with internet technology. The model consists of 120 criterias divided into five major components, namely: shareholders, transparency, board of directors, executive management, and technical accessibility.

We assess that the significance of transparency can work well if it is supported by the use of information technology. The quality of governance is proxied by IBCG Rating that has been tested by Hartono *et al.* (2013) and shows that its effect on the firm value and also give recommendation to add resource development planning, especially human resources, disclosure regarding whistleblowing system, and threats of litigation adapting to the conditions of each country.

3. Corporate Governance Quality and Firm Value

Some researchers (Black, 2001; Gompers *et al.*, 2003; Drobertz *et al.*, 2004; Bauer *et al.*, 2008) argue that the governance quality in a company can increase the value of the company. Gompers *et al.* (2003) find that firms with strong shareholder rights (termed Democracies) exhibit better operating performance than firms with weak shareholder rights. Black Bernard *et al.* (2008) confirm the association between governance and value using panel data on Korean public companies over 1998-2004. Firms with higher scores on an overall Korean corporate governance index (KCGI) have higher Tobin's Q. These corporate governance components and a composite variable of these components representing the overall corporate governance of firms are found to be positively associated with the level of uncertainty arising from the incomplete governance arrangements of finance contracts (Rahman, 2002).

Leal and Da Silva (2005) using construct a corporate governance practices index (CGI) from a set of 24 questions and show that The CGI maintains a positive, significant, and robust relationship with corporate value. Black *et al.* (2006) in Russia find an economically important and statistically strong correlation between governance and market value. In Brazil, Da Silveira *et al.* (2007) suggest obtained in all econometric approaches show a positive and significant influence of corporate governance quality on firm's market value. OLS results with market value variables Tobin's Q and PBV multiple suggest that, *ceteris paribus*, a worst-to-best change in governance quality would result in a market capitalization increase of around 85% and 100% in Brazil.

Balasubramanian *et al.* (2010) also find cross-sectional evidence of a positive relationship for an overall governance index and a subindex covering shareholder rights. The association is stronger for more profitable firms and firms with stronger growth opportunities. Eberhart (2012) presents evidence that the adoption by Japanese firms of a shareholder-oriented, more transparent, system of corporate governance creates greater corporate value in comparison to the traditional system of statutory auditors. The effect is not only significant, it is important in magnitude. Data analysis shows a significant increase in firm valuation, as measured by Tobin's Q.

Kallunki *et al.* (2009) investigated the effectiveness of two main corporate governance mechanisms, namely the board of directors and auditing. Overall, the empirical results suggest that both boards with equity incentives and higher quality auditors may act as effective governance mechanisms with positive valuation implications. Hermalin (2008) builds a model that explains the empirical findings, but which doesn't suffer from this money-on-the-table critique. He find that strong governance and performance are positively correlated. Gu and Hackbarth (2012) examines how accounting transparency and corporate governance interact. Firms with better governance are associated with higher abnormal returns, but even more so if they also have higher transparency.

Garay and González (2008) show that an increase of 1 per cent in the CGI results in an average increase of 11.3 per cent in dividend payouts, 9.9 per cent in price-to-book, and 2.7 per

cent in Tobin's Q. Ntim *et al.* (2009) investigates the relationship between a broad corporate governance (CG) index and firm value (Tobin's Q) using a sample of 169 South African (SA) listed firms between 2002 and 2006. Consistent with the results of prior studies, they find a statistically significant and positive association between good CG practices and firm value. De Toledo (2010) assesses the relationship between the quality of governance and the market value of Spanish publicly traded firms. The results show an overall positive impact of governance on firm value. Garay *et al.* (2013) examine the relationship between an Internet-based corporate disclosure index and firm value in the seven largest stock markets of Latin America. They find, after controlling for firms' characteristics, industry and country of origin, that an increase in 1% in the Internet-Based Corporate Disclosure Index causes an increase of 0.1592% in the Tobin's Q and an increase of 0.0119% in the firm's ROA.

Based on a newly assembled firm-level data set on corporate governance and firm performance, Chong and Lopez-De-Silanes (2006) show that better firm-level corporate governance practices are linked to higher valuations, better performance and more dividends disbursed to investors. Using a sample of 169 post-Apartheid SA firms from 2002 to 2007, (Ntim *et al.*, 2010) find a significant positive association between a broad CG index and firm value. Choi and Han (2011) explore these events to examine the effect of bank governance. Their results are consistent with the argument that recent Japanese restructuring reduces information asymmetries and agency problems, thus improving the efficiency of internal capital markets and firm value.

Other research results indicate that the implementation of governance has no effect on the value of the company or does not increase the maximum value of the company (Black, 2001)(Bhagat and Black,1999). Kang *et al.* (2002) find that downsizing (diversifying expansionary) actions during the crisis have a positive (negative) effect on the value of chaebol firms. they results suggest that change in firm value during such a crisis is a function of firm-level differences in corporate governance measures and owner-manager incentives. Griffin *et al.* (2005) examine why corporate governance varies widely across countries and across firms, and why such variation matters. Using a new database from Governance Metrics International on corporate governance practices across a large number of countries and firms for 2006-2011, and find that Within countries, there is a positive association between firm-level corporate governance practices and firm value; however, across countries, the association is negative or zero.

Seog (2007) show that good (strict) governance may reduce firm value. While good governance prevents managers (entrepreneurs, or controlling shareholders) from expropriating firm value for their private interests, it also reduces the incentives for them to increase firm value in the first place. Other main findings include: Firms may produce more under weaker governance. Whether it look at the effects of governance on long-horizon stock returns, firm value, or operating performance, Giroud and Mueller Holger (2008) consistently find the same pattern: The effect is monotonic in the degree of competition, it is small and insignificant in competitive industries, and it is large and significant in non-competitive industries. Gupta *et al.* (2009) examine the association between the composite or sub-category corporate governance scores and various measures of firm value in Canada. Using data for 2002 through 2005 on the Report on Business rankings and various financial and market measures, Overall, these research does not find an association between the composite or sub-category corporate governance scores and the various measures of firm value. Bae *et al.* (2011) find that during the 1997 Asian financial crisis, Asian firms with weaker corporate governance experience a larger drop in their share values, but during the post-crisis recovery period, such firms experience a larger rebound in their share values

Based on the differences in the results of the study, we conduct studies assessing the need for governance of the relationship between corporate governance and firm value.

4. The Hypothetical Propositions

The contribution of this paper is focus on methodological development. From the methodological point of view, this paper introduces Internet Based Corporate Governance (IBCG) rating modified with adapted to the conditions of each country- a system for the evaluation of the use of Internet in the governance of companies.

The propositions of this research include: 1) there is no difference between the IBCG Rating and the Modified IBCG Rating; and 2) there is positive influence of modified IBCG Rating on firm value. The first proposition can be measured by Chow test. Second proposition can be examined using a variety of measurements of the firm value.

References

- Bae, K.-H., J.-S. Baek, J.-K. Kang and W.-L. Liu, 2011. Do controlling shareholders expropriation incentives imply a link between corporate governance and firm value? Theory and evidence. *Journal of Financial Economics* (JFE), Forthcoming. Available from http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1089926.
- Balasubramanian, B.N., S. Black Bernard and S. Khanna Vikramaditya, 2010. The relation between firm-level corporate governance and market value: A study of India. Nearly Final Version, Published in *Emerging Markets Review*, 11: 319-340. Available from http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1586460.
- Bauer, R., B. Frijns, R. Otten and A. Tourani-Rad, 2008. The impact of corporate governance on corporate performance: Evidence from Japan. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, 16: 236-251.
- Bauer, Rob, Guenster, Nadja, and Otten, Rogen. 2004. Empirical Evidence on Corporate Governance in Europe; the effect on stock return, firm value and performance. *Journal of Asset management*. Vol 5, No. 2, pp.91-104.
- Bhagat, Sanjai., and Black, B., 1999. The Uncertain Relationship Between Board Composition and Firm Performance, *Business Lawyer*, No. 55, pp. 921-963.
- Black, B., H. Jang and W. Kim, 2006. Does corporate governance affect firm value? Evidence from Korea. Available from <http://ssrn.com/>.
- Black Bernard, S., w. Kim, H. Jang and K.S. Park, 2008. How corporate governance affects firm value: Evidence on channels from Korea. Available from http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=844744.
- Black, B.S., 2001. The corporate governance behavior and market value of Russian firms. Northwestern University - School of Law; Northwestern University - Kellogg School of Management; European Corporate Governance Institute (ECGI). *Emerging Markets Review*, 2: 89-108. Available from http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=263014.
- Black, B.S., I. Love and A. Rachinsky, 2006. Corporate governance indices and firms market values: Time series evidence from Russia. Nearly Final Version, Published in *Emerging Markets Review*, 7: 361-379. Available from http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=866988.
- Choi, Yoon K., and Han, Seung Hun. 2011. Corporate Governance, Diversification, and Firm Value: Evidence from 'Spin-Ins'. 24th Australasian Finance and Banking Conference 2011 Paper. http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1913453
- Chong, A. and F. Lopez-De-Silanes, 2006. Corporate governance and firm value in Mexico. IDB Working Paper No. 470. Available from http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1820043.
- Da Silveira, M. Alexandre Di and A.B.D.C. Barros Lucas, 2007. Corporate governance quality and firm value in Brazil. University of Sao Paulo. Available from http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=923310.
- De Toledo, E.P., 2010. The relationship between corporate governance and firm value: A simultaneous equations approach for analyzing the case of Spain. CAAA Annual Conference 2010. Available from http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1535073.
- Drobertz, W., A. Schillhofer and H. Zimmermann, 2004. Corporate governance and expected stock return: Evidence from Germany. Available from <http://ssrn.com>.
- Eberhart, R., 2012. Corporate governance systems and firm value: Empirical evidence from Japan's natural experiment. *Journal of Asia Business Studies*, Rock Center for Corporate Governance at Stanford University Working Paper No. 94, 6(2). Available from http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1739292.
- Garay, U. and M. González, 2008. Corporate governance and firm value: The case of Venezuela. *Corporate Governance International Review*, 16(3): 194-209. Available from http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1755611.
- Garay, U., M. González, A. Guzmán and M.-A. Trujillo, 2013. Internet-based corporate disclosure and market value: Evidence from Latin America. Midwest Finance Association 2013 Annual Meeting Paper *Emerging Markets Review*, 17(1):150-168. Available from http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2146937.
- Giroud, X. and M. Mueller Holger, 2008. Corporate governance, product market competition, and equity prices. NYU Working Paper No. FIN-08-017 Available from http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1354505.
- Gompers, P.A., J.L. Ishii and A. Metrick, 2003. Corporate governance and equity prices. *Quarterly Journal of Economic*, 118: 107-155.
- Griffin, D.W., O. Guedhami, C.Y. Kwok Chuck, K. Li and L. Shao, 2015. National culture, corporate governance practices, and firm value. Available from http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2400078
- Grzybowski, M. and D. Wojcik, 2006. Internet and corporate governance, working paper. University of Oxford. Available from <http://ssrn.com>.
- Gu, L. and D. Hackbarth, 2012. Governance and equity prices: Does transparency matter. *Review of Finance*, Forthcoming. Available from http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1970294.
- Gupta, P.P., D.B. Kennedy and S.C. Weaver, 2009. Corporate governance and firm value: Evidence from Canadian capital markets. *Corporate Ownership and Control Journal*, 6(3). Available from http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1366045.

- Hartono, U., B. Subroto, G. Irianto and Djumahir, 2013. Firm characteristics, corporate governance, and firm value. *International Journal of Business and Behavioral Science*, 3(8).
- Hermalin, B.E., 2008. Firm value and corporate governance: Does the former determine the latter. Available from http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1080090.
- Kang, J.-K., J.-S. Baek and K.S. Park, 2002. Corporate governance and firm value: Evidence from the Korean financial crisis. Available from http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=348401.
- Klapper, L. and I. Love, 2004. Corporate governance, investor protection and performance in emerging markets. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 10(5): 703-728.
- Kallunki, Juha-Pekka., Nilsson, Henrik., and Zerni, Mikko P. 2009. The Entrenchment Problem, Corporate Governance Mechanisms and Firm Value. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, Forthcoming. http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1392279
- Leal, R.P.C. and A.C. Da Silva, 2005. Corporate governance and value in Brazil (and in Chile). Available from http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=726261.
- Ntim, C.G., K.K. Opong and J. Danbolt, 2009. Corporate governance and firm value: Evidence from South African (SA) listed firms Available from http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1884291.
- Ntim, C.G., K.K. Opong and J. Danbolt, 2010. Corporate governance, affirmative action and firm value: Evidence from post-apartheid South African firms. Available from http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1884256.
- Rahman, A.R., 2002. Incomplete financial contracting, disclosure, corporate governance and firm value - with evidence from a moderate market for corporate control environment. Available from http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=348304.
- Shleifer, A., dan R.W. Vishny, 1997. A Survey of Corporate Governance, *Journal of Finance*, Vol.52 No.2, June, pp. 737-783
- Seog, H.S., 2007. A blessing in disguise: Corporate governance, firm value, and competition. Available from <http://ssrn.com/abstract=908540>.